Pat. App. 10/012,198

## REMARKS

In view of the fact that allowable subject matter has been indicated to be present in the case, an earnest effort has been made to bring the application to issue without delay.

1. Applicant notes that the PTOL-326 from indicates that claims 13, 14, 17 to 19, 21, 22, 24 to 28 and 35 have been objected to on formal grounds and presumably contain allowable subject matter.

In addition, that form indicates that the drawing is acceptable and that the priority papers have been received.

2. The claims have been amended to make them allowable.

Thus, claim 13 has been amended to include all of the subject

matter of claims 1 and 9 from which it formally was dependent.

Claim 14 remains in the case as dependent upon claim13. The Examiner has objected to claim 14 as containing allegedly the exact same limitation as claim 13. That is not the case. The guard in claim 14 permits access to the apparatus while the guard in claim 13 prevents access to the apparatus.

Pat. App. 10/012,198

Claim 17 has been amended to include all of the subject matter of claims 1 and 15 and is now an independent allowable claim. Claim 18 remains dependent upon claim 17 and claims 24 to 28 are dependent upon claim18. Claim 19, which is also dependent upon claim 18, has been streamlined to avoid repetitiveness.

Outright allowance is in order of claims 17 to 19 and 24 to 28.

Claim 22 has been made dependent by the inclusion of the subject matter of claims 1 and 3 therein. It is allowable together with claim 22 which remains dependent thereon.

Claim 35 has been made dependent by the inclusion of the subject matter of claims 29 and 32 therein. All other claims in the case have been cancelled. Outright allowance of claims 13, 14, 17 to 19, 24 to 28, 21, 22 and 35 is in order.

3. Since the number of independent claims in the case has been increased by one beyond the number covered by the original fees, a charge form applying the additional fee for one independent claim of \$86 to a charge card of the undersigned is enclosed.

Pat. App. 10/012,198

Atty's 22061

4. The objection under 37 CFR 1.75(c) is not correct.

Claims 13 and 14 are not identical for the reasons noted.

Since this case is now in condition for allowable, an early notice to that effect is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,
The Firm of Karl F. Ross R.C.

By: Herbert Dubno, Reg. No. 19,752

Attorney for Applicant

db-

DATED: 26 May 2004

5676 Riverdale Avenue Box 900

Bronx, NY 10471-0900

Cust. No.: 535

Tel:

(718) 884-6600

Fax:

(718) 601-1099

Encls:

PTO-2038 Form